1	Matthew Franklin Jaksa (CA State Bar No. 248072)		
2	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP		
	560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2994		
3	Telephone: (415) 268-2000		
4	Facsimile: (415) 268-1999		
5	Email: matt.jaksa@hro.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT;		
7	LAFACE RECORDS LLC; UMG		
	RECORDINGS, INC.; ZOMBA		
8	RECORDING LLC; ATLANTIC		
9	RECORDING CORPORATION; ARISTA		
	RECORDS LLC; and ELEKTRA		
10	ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC.		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION	
13		1	
14	SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a	CASE NO. 3:07-CV-04877-SI	
	Delaware general partnership; LAFACE	Honorable Susan Illston	
15	RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability	Honorable Susan Histori	
16	company; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND	
	Delaware corporation; ZOMBA RECORDING	TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND	
17	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, a	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
18	Delaware corporation; ARISTA RECORDS		
10	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and		
19	ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC.,		
20	a Delaware corporation,		
20	a Belaware corporation,		
21	Plaintiffs,		
22			
22	V.		
23			
24	JOHN DOE,		
25	Defendant.		
23		I	
26			
27			
28			

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:07-cv-04877-SI #34954 v1

1

24

27

28

Plaintiffs respectfully request, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(m) and 6(b)(1)(A), that the Court grant an additional 90 days to serve Defendant with the Summons and Complaint. As further explained below, Plaintiffs have believe they have discovered the identity of the Doe defendant in this case and have contacted this person in an attempt to resolve the dispute without further litigation; Plaintiffs thus seek additional time to effectuate service in the event the dispute is not resolved and Plaintiffs file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant individually. In support of their request, Plaintiffs state as follows:

- The current deadline for service of process is January 18, 2008. The initial case 1. management conference is set for April 18, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. The case management conference was continued twice, once by the Court of its own accord and once upon Plaintiffs' request by the Court's Order of December 21, 2007.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Copyright Infringement against Defendant John Doe ("Defendant") on September 20, 2007. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name Defendant in the Complaint, but were able to identify Defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned to Defendant by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP") – here, California State University, Monterey Bay.
- 3. In order to determine Defendant's true name and identity, Plaintiffs filed their Ex Parte Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on September 20, 2007, requesting that the Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiffs to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- 4. The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on October 10, 2007, which was served upon the ISP along with a Rule 45 subpoena. On November 30, 2007, the ISP responded to Plaintiffs' subpoena, identifying the individual associated with the Internet Protocol address supplied to the ISP by Plaintiffs.
- 5. On December 18, 2007, Plaintiffs sent a letter to the individual identified by the ISP, notifying him of Plaintiffs' claims and encouraging him to contact Plaintiffs to attempt to amicably resolve this matter. In subsequent telephone conversations beginning on December 26, 2007, the individual questioned whether the ISP properly identified him and has advised that he has been in

contact with the ISP but, as of Plaintiffs' last contact, had so far been unable to speak to the appropriate person at the University because of the winter holidays.

- 6. Plaintiffs wish to give the individual identified by the ISP a reasonable period of time to conclude his investigation of the identification issue and any negotiations aimed at resolving this case. Plaintiffs only wish to proceed with the litigation if this individual is the infringer of Plaintiffs' copyrights (and the case cannot be settled), but do not wish to amend the complaint to name him individually if he is not the infringer.
- 7. Given the circumstances of this case, Plaintiffs respectfully request an additional 90 days to effectuate service.
- 8. Plaintiffs submit that their efforts to give written notice of their claim to the individual identified by the ISP and subsequent efforts to resolve the matter before naming him in the lawsuit constitute good cause for any delay in perfecting service. *See Ritts v. Dealers Alliance Credit Corp.*, 989 F. Supp. 1475, 1479 (N.D. Ga. 1997) (stating good cause standard for service extensions). Moreover, unlike a traditional case in which the defendant is known by name and efforts to serve can begin immediately after filing the complaint, in this case Plaintiffs first had to obtain the identity of the defendant through the subpoena to the ISP. This Court has discretion to enlarge the time to serve even where there is no good cause shown. *Henderson v. United States*, 517 U.S. 654, 658 n. 5 (1996).
- 9. Because the copyright infringements here occurred in 2007, the three-year limitations period for these claims has not expired. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 507(b) (2000). There can thus be no prejudice to the Defendant from any delay in serving the Complaint.
- 10. Plaintiffs will provide the Defendant with a copy of this request and any Order concerning this request when service of process occurs.

Dated: January 17, 2008 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP

By: /s/ Matthew Franklin Jaksa
MATTHEW FRANKLIN JAKSA
Attorney for Plaintiffs

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	Good cause having been shown:
3	IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(m) and
4	6(b)(1), Plaintiffs' time to serve the Summons and Complaint on Defendant be extended to April 17,
5	2008.
6	
7	
8	Dated: By: Honorable Susan Illston
9	Honorable Susan Illston United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:07-cv-04877-SI #34954 v1